

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,445	08/26/2003	Kil-soo Jung	1293.1994	1650
49455 STEIN MCEV	7590 03/06/2008 VEN & BUI, LLP	EXAMINER		
1400 EYE STR	1400 EYE STREET, NW		CHIO, TAT CHI	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
1C 347,445	JUNG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tat Chi Chio	2621	•
-		

	rat Cili Cilio	2021	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complite following time periods:</li> </ol>	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of A ppeal (with appeal fee) in ance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing d	late of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	Ŋ.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the piod of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fe statutory period for reply originally set	ee. The appropriate externing in the final Office action:	ension fee under 37 ; or (2) £osr\$se <b>i</b> n (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dis missal d	of the appeal.
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brie	of will not be entered	harausa
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	· ·	duales or almostificina	tha iaguag far
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better</li> <li>appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing of simplifying	ine issues ioi
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ecte d claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	omnliant Amendment	(PTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		omphant / anonamone	(1 10E-024).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	□ will not be entered or b) □ w	ill be entered and an	ovalanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		nii be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			•
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-9 and 11</u> .	. •		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A b a f a a a a a a b a a d a a a f f i i a a a b	Inting of Ammanl will w	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a fin al action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but see attachment.	t does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:	,		

10/647,445 Art Unit: 2621

## DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/10/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Lamkin does not disclose event registration to check whether the user performed the action.

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Lamkin discloses event registration to check whether the user performed the action in Table A.1.41. Table A.1.41 check if a User Operation is valid and the main purpose of this command is to retrieve the current UOP (User Operation) status. Checking the validity of a user operation can be done if there is a user operation, therefore, this information provides whether the user performed an action. Furthermore, since the main purpose of this command is to retrieve the current user operation status, checking whether user performed an action is needed before retrieving the current user operation status.

The applicant alleged, "the ValidUOP(x) function does not require that the user actually have performed an action, as suggested by the Examiner."

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. After a careful review of the previous office actions, the examiner does not find such suggestion in previous office actions.

The applicant argues that Lamkin does not disclose that the markup document contains second event information to prohibit information the AV playback engine, which decodes the AV data, of the occurrence of the key input event.

Application/Control Number:

10/647,445 Art Unit: 2621

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Lamkin discloses that the markup document contains second event information to prohibit information the AV playback engine, which decodes the AV data, of the occurrence of the key input event in Table A.1.41 and col. 19, lines 51-54.

SUPPLIFIED ON CENTER 2000